

Prior to the 13th of September 1982 when [House Joint Resolution 192](#) was [repealed](#), along with [Title 31, of the United States Code, Section 314](#) (defining the dollar) was repealed on that same day ([see: 311-315a](#)), and prior to the 23rd of September 1994 when [Title 12, of the United States Code, Section 152](#) (defining lawful money) was repealed ([see: 151 to 153](#)), HJR 192 had stipulated the prohibition against paying our debts in law. Now that we have no money, nor any dollars, nor their legal definitions, nor HJR 192's temporary crutch of mentally transferring us from the old-style currency to the new (of discharging lawfully dollared obligations with legally tendered fictional dollars of consensual usage), there is no longer any remedy in law, save whatever contractual terms all parties to a contract agree to bind themselves with: a mutual construction under merchant (commercial) law. The constitution affords us the right to contract ([Article I, Section 10:1](#)) and the right to consent ([Amendment XIII](#)).

Section 314 of USCS 31 states **{before it was later repealed}** the standard unit value as “the dollar consisting of 24 8/10 grains of gold, nine tenths fine and/or 371.25 grains of .999 fine silver as established shall be the standard unit of value, and all forms of money issued and coined by the United States shall be maintained at a parity of the value with this standard, and it shall be the duty of the Secretary of the Treasury to maintain such parity.”

Section 152 of USC 12 stated **{before it, too, was later repealed}** “The terms ‘lawful money’ and ‘lawful money of the United States’ shall be construed to mean gold and silver coin of the United States.”

“There is nothing more certain than death and taxes.”

Let's analyze the quote above...

‘Death’ means debt. It is only through debt that money ever gets created in today's society. And it is through taxation of this debt, that it becomes converted from private legal tender (private debt) into public legal tender (public debt) — the latter form being acceptable to the global economy.

Constitutional Road Map:

The Execution of Power in Today's Society By the Footsoldiers of our Foreign Masters (i.e. police, bankers, and many unwitting attorneys, etc.)

13th Amendment:

- “Involuntary servitude” is prohibited means that “voluntary servitude” is allowed.
- “Voluntary servitude” means our belief in “their” fictional, delusional, non-Constitutional, unbonded: laws, codes, and statutes.
- “Their...” means our new masters: the English Crown, the [\(Black\) Pope](#) and the Vatican, the Mob (organized crime originating within any country), any former Nazis still lurking about, in other words, anyone who would legally exploit people's ignorance of the significance of government-given benefits being the foundation for “baiting” the public to come under governmental fleecing and control through the [aegis](#) of commercial law [[see below](#)].
- The “Age of Consent” refers to a security interest which (the United States Department of Commerce has in the birth certificate) finally comes to maturity. It is registered in a strawman name similar, yet different, from the child's. Before that point, it can be questioned by the strawman's legal guardian (which also happens to be the child's parents) and objected to its existence to propose that it be removed from existence and from registration. This is not unlike the first twenty year history of the Federal Reserve Act – from 1913 until 1933 – when the Act could have been questioned and readily abolished utilizing provisions within it for that purpose. Up to the age of consent, the child can not question his own security interest in his strawman, because the 13th Amendment puts the child under voluntary servitude to shut up and mind its parental guardians. Only the parent has the authority to question the child's security interest while the child is a minor. Once the strawman reaches the age of consent for the state in which it resides, the United States presumes a lack of authority upon the grown child to question his/her own security interest in its strawman. But that presumption can be reversed through commercial remedy. So, the age of consent releases the child from voluntary servitude towards its parents, transferring service to the child's new masters: the United States Department of Commerce, and its parent corporation: the United States, and all instruments and instrumentalities (qualities of instruments) of the United States. Before the State began securing its interest in children around 1934 when it initiated registration of live births, children could be bound into wedlock by their parents before reaching the age of majority to decide for themselves. But now the State places itself as primary lender, disallowing parents from doing as they please with the child without the State's prior consent. So, coming of age, or of the age of consent, is when the State transfers from the child's parents to the child itself the ability of the child to enter into contracts on its own signature, and thus carry its own liabilities.

14th Amendment, 1st clause:

- “All persons born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the State wherein they reside.” — This means either born in Washington, D.C., or else the birth is registered, i.e. recorded, in Washington, D.C., or some other Federal agency.
- “No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States” means that the dependency of U.S. citizens upon Federal agencies in Washington, D.C., or elsewhere, shall not be voided or overruled by their States' sovereignty, which refers to any prior citizenship an individual or group may possess from having been born or naturalized within their State. This provision tips the balance of power exercised over us from having previously been derived from our State governments to now being derived from our Federal government.
- “Nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law;” -- “due process” means that commercial process, commercial law, law merchant, [Lex Mercatoria](#) (Latin for: law merchant), shall be the mode whereby we are ordered to perform certain duties, and sanctioned with fines, taxes, and punishments for failure to do so.
- “Nor deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.” “Person” means a corporation, or trust, and is a public office with certain government-mandated duties of performance. “Protection” means the execution of commercial law, meaning that no one is immune from the ability of government to fleece and coerce its own citizenry.

14th Amendment, 4th clause:

- “The validity of the public debt” ... “incurred” ... “in suppressing insurrection or rebellion, shall not be questioned.” — This means that government officials are not allowed to openly admit of their participation in commercial procedures that are at the foundation of government's existence, nor are they allowed to resolve any of the imperfections of commerce or of their involvement in it. The remainder of this clause voids government's accountability which furthers this latter thought of unresolvability. This reminds me of a phrase that the IRS loves to repeat in their form letters which they send out to certain people to whom the IRS doesn't take a general liking to whenever anyone of these people espouse certain ideas or live certain practices or procedures of taxation which the IRS doesn't approve of, even though the IRS makes these other possibilities available. — **“This matter is closed for discussion and you will be fined for raising it again.”** — This is obviously an exertion of political pressure on the part of the IRS, not one of scoping out any sort of true error of procedure that needs correcting. So of course, it is a personal matter of questioning political expediency or comfort-mode: which political sovereignty does one's self wish to be aligned with? And questioning exactly “Who is one's self dealing with?” in order to make this choice of alignment? And questioning “Does this sovereignty actually exist (outside the self), or am I dreaming?”
- “in suppressing insurrection or rebellion,” means that any benefits or privileges accepted, or requested, from government admits that the receiver

of these benefits is, or has been, in a state of insurrection or rebellion against the government, or any of its other interlocking agencies or private contractors, including banks and the Federal Reserve. This is demonstrated by that interlocking agency or private contractor's failure to give us its business if we do not produce a valid state-issued ID upon demand. It is the admission of guilt before even being indicted of any so-called fictional crime. This is why indictments are always fictional. They don't need to be real. The guilt is predisposed upon the reception of **any** benefit or privilege emanating from government. So, you don't have to be a religious fundamentalist in using Biblical quotations of our supposed, inherent imperfection and supposedly inherent guilt to justify this attitude on the part of government. This is already validated by this Constitutional Amendment appended shortly after the inception of this Corporate (United States) Democracy instituted by the vanguard, the footsoldiers, of our foreign invaders (shortly after the Civil War).

15th Amendment:

- “The right of citizens of the United States to vote shall not be denied” ... “on account of” ... “previous condition of servitude.” “Vote” means to register, or to pledge allegiance. Registration to vote doesn't void an individual's self-sovereignty, but allows the United States to ignore it for the purpose of exercising its own sovereignty over that individual. Sovereignty is derived from the Self. Unless the Self is extinguished, the Self's Sovereignty is eternally paramount. But registration is the fictional mode of voiding Self-Sovereignty by the individual creating a Strawman, a legal entity (“ens legis”, in Latin) in the form of a mirrored clone of the Self (the Self's signature [[see below](#)]), which governments and private organizations will then attach to the Self.
- “Sovereign” means free-will and its consequence of personal responsibility for action. This is God-given and unrevokable. Liberty is the only precept in our conceptual framework which is applicable to sovereignty. Life and property^{footnote} are not expressions of sovereignty, for both life and property can each be stolen or destroyed and thus require “eternal vigilance” in their defense. But not so with free-will, nor with karma. Karma, for the human, is unavoidable. For angels and for the animals, it is administered on their behalf by Mother Divine, also known as: Mother Nature, and the forces of same. And free-will, for the human being, is also unavoidable (angelic beings and human-like animals, no matter how human-like those animals may be, are not free to act as they please outside of their essential nature as angels and as animals). Humans have animal-like tendencies, because of their living within an animal-like nervous system; and they also have divine qualities; but they can override all of these innate tendencies and innate qualities at any time they choose – and often times they do this and throw out of balance the whole of creation in the process. Mother Nature requires balance at all times and extracts this of us humans via karma. An ego is a byproduct of being a human being out of touch with him, or her, self. Animals and angels cannot be out of touch with themselves, much less produce an ego, for they do not have the freedom of self-willfulness to deny themselves their own true Self. It is self-awareness, and the capacity for

same, which makes the human divine in potential and in actuality once full self-awareness is attained. Sovereignty is our birth-right. Free-will is our heritage. Karma is our consciousness. Self-awareness is our [source, course, and goal](#) of being.

- Footnote: Rumor has it that Ben Franklin cajoled Thomas Jefferson into deleting from his original draft the use of the term “property” within Jefferson's [Declaration of America's Independance](#) from the British Monarchy while retaining the phrases of “life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness”. Even the [Declaration and Resolves of the First Continental Congress](#) of the 14th of October 1774 uses this phraseology in its first resolution of that document further down the page. Thomas Jefferson patterned his use of the term “property” from the earlier [Virginia Declaration of Rights](#) drafted by George Mason.

16th Amendment:

- “The Congress shall have power to lay and collect taxes on incomes, from whatever source derived.” “Income tax” means sanctions of any sort, namely: fines and punishments, as well as taxes, service charges, and performance obligations. “Source” means signature, as well as strawman.
- “...incomes, from whatever source derived” refers to *their* income derived from us, not merely our incomes derived from other sources. The Constitution is *their* binding agreement with us, not us with them. It obligates *them* to presume that we are all public officials who all hold public office as U.S. Citizens and residents of some or another state. Thus, they are merely taxing one of their own, extracting an income from their own federal employees. Although their agreement with us is the Constitution, our contract with them is composed of all the applications for benefits and privileges that we, or our parental guardians on our behalf, signed into. An agreement is not as binding as is a contract in that a contract can be milked for the value inherent within its signature, i.e.: {1} it can be taken to court and sued for any infringement of its terms, or else {2} the obligating party can be liened against (which is just as good, or better, since more wealth can be extracted through a lien than through a court order!), or else {3} it can be sold to any third party who might see some profit could be gained by buying up someone else's debts (China and India are the largest foreign holders of United States' debts).
- “Signature” is whatever signature we place on government and interlocking private documents, or any application for benefits and privileges. Sometimes, a benefit or privilege is not what we would normally define this to be, such as the benefit of being taxable! It is the signature which is sanctioned (taxed), not the Self. Through our belief in their fictional laws, this sanctioned signature is then attached to our Self, creating the foundation for the delusion that we have broken some law. In other words, reverse logic is initially being used here to create a self-fulfilling logic from its circular completion; i.e., the conclusion desired is first taken to be the premise in reverse fashion, then the logic flow is reversed back into a

forward direction (the way it's supposed to be) making the conclusion now appear to be predicated on the premise (but the premise was never properly validated!). The whole mess is further supported by one or more [fallacious arguments](#), in this case, quite often an appeal to authority or to popular wisdom (a variant) are often used. The accuser is actually making an offer to someone that he/she is a lawbreaker and that some, or another, law has been broken, when in fact government is merely finding a convenient way to fleece the public utilizing legalized, commercial processes attaching a government-created debt to a signature, and thence to whomever wrote that signature. This attachment is done through delusionally circular, or bidirectional, logic that some law may have been broken, hence, we must be guilty. But no law is ever broken, only the reverse of public policy has occurred: taking a portion of government debt out of the public economic pool and finding some sucker to attach it to. There is no fictional law, code, statute, or regulation for us to break. All laws have to be qualified by some Constitutional nexus, some connecting point within the Constitution, which qualifies that law. Otherwise, that law is null and void from the outset and can be ignored. Also, within our current corporate democratic government (since the close of the Civil War), all laws have to be bonded with public hazard bonds on the part of any governmental body which might choose to impose that law upon the populace against any public liability which the exercise of that law might incur against that governmental body. So, it is only through our belief, our willing ignorance of the current situation, that allows us to voluntarily serve our new masters and then give them the consent they need to command us at their discretion. Our new masters includes any private organization which requires a valid state ID for participation in that organization's activities, such as: a bank, for instance. So, not all private contracts are outside the boundaries of this discussion.

In addition, statements by a police officer or a judge...

- “What is your name?” means: Allow me to start a contract binding you on your name and word of honor.
- “Show me your I.D.” means: Write me a blank check against your liability and fictional presumption of guilt so that I may fill in the amount at my discretion and persecute you using all of the various historical records we have on file associated with your social security name and number, and birth date at various agencies (credit bureaus, police, Department of Motor Vehicles, etc.) to the full extent of the law.

HINT:

Consider comparing the later [Constitution](#) with the earlier [Articles of Confederation](#). My favorite point of comparison, is that **the original Articles of Confederation allow the States to coin their own money**, in addition to the United States, under [Article Nine](#), paragraph four. And only the United States is allowed the sole authority for regulating the alloy and value of coins struck by itself, or its respective States. Already, our current Constitution relegates too much power to Congress to be the sole authority for coining money...a harbinger of what was to come, namely: the Federal Reserve Act of 1913 transferring this sole authority to a non-governmental cartel of

private banks. And Canada is accepted as a member of the Confederation under [Article Eleven](#).

DISCLAIMER:

This little treatise on our Constitution does not mean that you can merely stop paying your taxes and run every red light in sight! But it does mean, that if you do want to stop paying your taxes and run every red light in sight, then you will need to...

1. Take full responsibility for all of your actions, as well as all of your inactions. Self-Sovereignty requires this of us.
2. Detach yourself from every signature authorizing, or requiring, some or another governmental agency, or private organization, to fleece you with sanctions, or privilege you with benefits. You might have to use another signature to do this!
3. Live this, and believe this reality, with all of your heart, with all of your mind, and with all of your willfully, intentional devotion. Be consistent. Don't *ever* waver, vary, or mix ideologies. Create your own reality and see it through to its natural conclusion and/or development. If it has the support of nature, then it will naturally grow and evolve into a wholistic view of your world. A world worth living and enjoying in peace, prosperity, and the knowledge that you know who you are and that is enough!
4. Stop focusing on what laws you might be breaking, 'cuz it doesn't matter. Focus instead on what laws (commercial processes) support your [un-a-lien-able right](#) (a right that cannot be commercially liened, i.e. fleeced) to be a sovereign under the Constitution's Common Law, namely:
 1. Don't hurt anyone, including the little animals...They are children of God (yet, to become human).
 2. Don't destroy anything, especially anyone's property.
 3. Don't break your contractual obligations without first voiding them.
5. Laws are not meant to be broken; nor do they need to be fixed. Governments love to create problems, sometimes fictional ones requiring fictional solutions to keep us under their authority. But real problems need real solutions which prevent problems from arising in the first place; not laws to suppress our instinct to test flawed laws and find them wanting of perfection. Example: try not to run a red light. The problem is not with the light, nor with anyone running through it. The problem is having an intersection (at all) requiring the suppression of our natural instinct to travel unimpeded through the roadway. Overpasses along with underpasses, or mass transit, or simply designing our cities into smaller walkable units, are the solutions. The problem is not with society, nor with laggard government. Government is anything but laggard. The problem is having a foreign government having vanquished us into submitting ourselves to their authority. We live under a corporate democracy foreign to the principles of sovereignty and self-rule for the sole purposes of: exploitation and control of the masses...a harkback to the days of serfdom under the lords and ladies of [yore](#).

6. The conclusion of all of this, is that it is undeserving of us to feel any hostility towards these footsoldiers, the vanguard of our new masters, i.e. the police, the banks, big corporations, the courts and the justice system, attorneys, etc., because the Constitution has already been hijacked to suit our new masters' purposes. So, it's not like we've been entirely duped (although close enough!). Any true transformation of the current situation would require rewriting, or trashing and possibly replacing, at least the latter part of the Constitution starting with the 13th Amendment and everything thereafter. And don't forget to include the [original 13th Amendment](#) that has never been repealed, but was quietly dropped shortly after the Civil War, for it undermines the activities of our new masters' footsoldiers.

Updating this Knowledge Under Public Policy

House Joint Resolution 192 of the 5th of June 1933 does more than merely discharge our debts into the public economic pool of collective karma. It also:

1. Discharges *any* of our obligations of performance as well.
 - So, next time you get a summons to do anything under threats of punishment, just remember to write a kindly note, along with a promissory note, certifying that you promise to perform your duty in accord with public policy and HJR 192. Promising to pay under public policy is no different than discharging any other obligation. Obligations are performances of various types; payment is merely one type. Be creative and remember that we now live under a socialist-styled, corporate democracy. If you don't want to do jury service, then let the public perform this duty instead of you. This implies that, although it is good enough to simply write “accepted for value in accord with HJR 192 of the 5th of June 1933” on the original, negotiable presentment, it may be necessary to convert our response written on non-negotiable versions of the original presentment into a public admission, via either: a public notary or attorney process (such as a deposition), or a notice published in a newspaper, or recorded with the county recorder, etc. Public implies negotiable and original issue, while private implies non-negotiable and copies or notices of the original. So, although we may cite public policy on *their* (public) documents, citing it on ours (private) is alright, but inadequate. A notice sent to you by mail of a purported obligation for you to perform some action is not a public document, but merely a private correspondence. So, maybe they don't have any public notice on negotiable paper and are hoping that you'll follow

through anyway? Or, maybe they have you on some sort of contractual violation, i.e. you signed something and now they're going to make some money off of your signature one way or another whether you perform or not? Whatever you do, never sign any mark of any kind inside the box on traffic tickets unless you're prepared to follow through with your promise. It's better to never sign at all, then to sign and expect to get out of performing the promise. This is why I engineer my own private promissory note to sign in front of the police officer, rather than want to sign his ticket (which will gestate into an international bill of exchange), because I prefer my terms of endearment over someone else's. But if you've got ideas of your own on how to evade your promises, then by all means, go for them. I just think that intentional flip-flopping (as opposed to unintentional flip-flopping) doesn't help the evolution of consciousness. It is your conscious development that will determine the outcome of your commercial processes.

2. HJR 192 also allows for the reverse flow of performance obligations (including its effect of providing for the appearance of the payment of debt under [point #1, above](#)). So, Public Policy (as stated within HJR 192) ostensibly discharges our individual dues and duties into the public economic polity (citizenry), but it also provides for government and its interlocking agencies to *attempt* to attach a portion of these fictional, public debts and public duties upon our signatures (operating as fictional strawmen), provided we allow this to happen through our willing consent (a quality of the current [13th Amendment](#)). And of course, any interlocking agency or private organization or business which requires us to exhibit a valid, state-issued ID to do any business with them turns all of their private contracts, and private dealings with us, into public obligations and public debt! *Stated again,*
 1. Public Policy is negotiable (i.e. transferable and sellable), because debts and obligations are bi-directionally chargeable and dischargeable in reverseable and invertable directions;
 2. And Public Policy is generally applicable to anyone who wishes to participate via attachment and/or association with Congress and/or any other Federal agency in Washington, D.C. *So, a state-administered program which participates in Federally matching funds is affiliated with Public Policy.*

(Negotiable) Pubes Politeia: An Examination of the Birth Certificate

The Birth Certificate, or Certificate of Live Birth, is a security interest [registered with the Department of Commerce](#) for use by our Federal government for monetizing

debt, i.e. the creation of money. It is the *only* instance whereby nudity – *our nakedness of how we came into this world with no prior affiliations or obligations* – is securitized in the public interest (namely, for the right to federally fleece and obligate us with our consent – of course!). “It is against Public Policy” [for anyone to legally hike in the nude (non-lewdly and non-sexually) along the unincorporated dirt-portion of Mulholland Drive in Los Angeles], as quoted by my [naturist-attorney](#) coming from the lips of one of the city attorneys of Los Angeles. This is indicative of how our nakedness would effectively undermine any fictional value that our Federal government might attach to this security (i.e., Birth certificate), because it would competitively drive down the value of this security interest. Our true, naked self is worth a whole lot more than some fictional entity, since our living self is the source of this fictional entity. And competition in the marketplace would reevaluate any fiction derived from our self towards zero value. So!...Get out of your clothes and shop in the nude! Our clothing is indicative of our station in life as well as being a semblance of a title of nobility (which is prohibited and sanctioned by our *original 13th Amendment*). This title of nobility (as authorized by the Birth Certificate) gives us “public office” along with the benefits and duties of same as a [14th Amendment, United States citizen](#) who resides within a federally sanctioned state (of bankruptcy, circa 1933; the United States Treasury became bankrupt in 1920).

Negotiable

Under Public Policy; under Federal jurisdiction; a title of liability under commercial law (the Uniform Commercial Code) which is transferable and sellable. *Hint*: Look up the commercial code in your state. It might make for some very informative reading!

[Pubes](#)

Pronounced [pyoobeez](#), Latin plural for the groin. Compare with [pubis](#) (Latin singular of pubes), from which [pubic](#) is derived.

Originally, the male, adult population of ancient Rome comprising the citizenry of its city-states. Hence, the words: [public](#) and [polity](#) are derived therefrom as doublets (two or more words of the same language that come from the same root). [Middle English *publik*, from Old French *public*, from Latin *pūblicus*, alteration (influenced by *pūbēs*, *adult population*) of *poplicus*, from *populus*, *people*, of Etruscan origin.]

[Politeia](#) (Πολιτεία)

The condition and rights of a citizen, [citizenship](#).

[“How we live as people”](#).

Compare with [Politika](#) (Πολιτικά): “the things concerning the polis”.

[Polis](#)

City, city-state, citizenship (for a distinctly Roman comparison: see [civitas](#)).

Singular: polis (πόλις).

Plural: poleis (πόλεις).

The significance here is that our naked birth right is monetized within today's society, rather than displayed openly in public. An open display of one's naked self is currently considered as a form of sexual, and possibly (additionally) slave- or chattel-related, advertisement for prostitution. The ancient Greeks would have added the meaning of adolescent sexual advances for the benefit/privilege of apprenticeship to a member of the adult male population, i.e. its citizens. Interestingly enough, the word:

“police” is a doublet of the word: “policy”. So, congressionally federal, Public Policy is enforced by the police of any given city. Our Federal government is analogous to the State of the ancient Greek’s city-state, because our city-state has grown to mammoth proportion spanning the whole gamut of federal jurisdiction: from the national to the [municipal](#).

“Pubes Politeia” could also mean: what is the public policy of nudists who are also sovereigns? It is, that sovereignty derives from the Self’s free-will, from our naked birth right to be unattached to any social obligation. Specie (gold and silver coin) represents our naked self, our birth right. Karma is represented by our clothes (and by paper currency). Hence, all of the federal paperwork that we signed ourselves into, or signed into by our parents on our behalf, is representative of karma. The Birth Certificate is but one example of federal (or federally subsidized) paperwork representing the securitization of our fictionalized strawman. *Hospitals get a bonus for every live birth which they register with the county.* When the Birth certificate is registered in State records, it comes under the Common Law as a Public Notice of Record. When it is registered in Washington, D.C.’s Department of Commerce as a security interest, it comes under Public Policy. *Public Policy was initiated immediately before Congress began the process of registering live births.* And whenever this paperwork is entered into on our behalf by our parental guardians, then it is considered to be done before we reach the age of majority – the age of consent – the age in which we can enter into contracts under the authority of our own signature.

A Few Points of [Comparison](#) Between our Current Democracy And our Previous Republic

Republic	Democracy
Electorate	Corporate Voters
Substance	(Beauracractic) Procedure
Present yourself before a Jury	You are Re-presented by an Attorney
Public Official	Beauracrat
Common Law	Commercial Law
Gold & Silver	Paper
Crime is Harmful	Fleece by Consent
Inherently Innocent	Guilty by Design
State Sovereignty	Federal (Centralized) Jurisdiction & Authority

Caveat:

Some of both columns are multiple hats worn interchangeably among some personnel within government to maintain the smooth transition between the old and the new government. So, a police officer is both a public official who will go find your lost relative whom you report to be missing since you last saw him, but they are also paper pushers in their own right sometimes requesting your social security number so that they can use your strawman's credit to apply against their own debts as a setoff in exchange for supposedly not reporting any legally endorsed, but nonetheless disturbing, incident made by you (such as non-lewd nudity that occurred outside the jurisdiction of county or city property) against the public peace (read this form of peace as being: the public ignorance of the law and the additional caveat that all laws need a Constitutional connection to be valid under the Republic; they also need a public hazard bond to be valid under the Corporate Democracy).

Think of our current government as an over simplification of the Three Values under the Common Law. Instead of the Common Law exemplifying the values [listed above](#), Commercial Law has been hijacked into encouraging a preponderant, over-emphasis on only the third value, namely: the value of keeping our promises, i.e. contract violations. This third value is much easier to fleece than the other two, because of its fictional nature and signatory foundation.

[A beauracrat](#) was walking out in the countryside one day when he saw a shepherd and his flocks of sheep. He approached the shepherd and said “If I can guess how many sheep you have, will you let me have one?” The shepherd thinks this is a pretty safe bargain, so he agrees. “You have 457 sheep,” the beauracrat answers confidently. Amazed, the shepherd watches as the beauracrat picks up his prize. “Hey wait a minute mister. If I can guess what you do for a living, will you let me have my possession back?” The beauracrat agrees, and is shocked when the shepherd tells him that he's a beauracrat. “How'd you know?!” asked the beauracrat. “Put the sheepdog down and we'll talk about it.” — *[Editor's note: But what if a beauracrat is blindly following procedure to your benefit? Any complaints? No. **Only thank you's!**]*

[Another version of the above joke...](#)

“Fear can only prevail when victims are ignorant of the facts.” — Thomas Jefferson
